

## **APPENDIX A DIGITAL SIGNATURE STANDARD**

**(Source Unknown)**

To reduce costs and increase productivity, many federal government agencies are transforming paper-based systems into automated electronic systems. This trend has brought about a need for a reliable, cost-effective way to replace a handwritten signature with a digital. Like a handwritten signature, a digital signature can be used to identify and authenticate the originator of the information. A digital signature can also be used to verify that information has not been altered after it is signed; this provides message integrity. In August 1991, NIST proposed the DSS as a Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS). The proposed DSS specifies a Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) for use in computing and verifying digital signatures.

Cryptography can be categorized as either secret key cryptography or public key cryptography. Secret key cryptography uses a single cryptography key shared by two communicating parties. For secret key cryptography to be effective, the cryptographic key must be kept secret and controlled only by the parties that have access to the key. FIPS 46-1, Data Encryption Standard (DES), defines the secret key algorithm to be used by the government for encrypting unclassified federal information.

Using the DES, a cryptographic checksum known as a Message Authentication Code (MAC) can be used to provide message integrity as specified in FIPS 113, Computer Data Authentication. When a key is shared only between the sender and receiver, the MAC can be used to identify the sender of the information to the receiver. However, implementations of this technology cannot inherently be used to prove to a third party that information actually originated from the sender. Since both the sender of the information and the receiver of the information share the same key, it is possible that the information could have originated from either party. Public key cryptography is a form of cryptography which makes use of two public keys: a public key and a private key. The two keys are mathematically related, but the private key cannot be determined from the public key. In a system implementing public key technology, each party has its own public/private key pair. The public key can be known by anyone; however, no one should be able to modify it. The private key is kept a secret. Its use should be controlled by its owner and it should be protected against modification as well as disclosure.

The proposed DSS defines a public key cryptographic system for generating and verifying digital signatures, the private key is randomly generated. Using this key and a mathematical process defined in the standard, the public key is generated. The DSS is used with the proposed FIPS for a Secure Hash Standard (SHS) to generate and verify digital signatures.

To generate a signature on a message, the owner of the private key first applies the Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA), as defined in the proposed SHS, to the message. This action results in a condensed representation of the message known as a message digest. The owner of the private key then applies the private key to the message digest using the mathematical techniques specified in the DSA to produce a digital signature. Any party with access to the public key, message, and signature can verify the signature using the DSA. Public keys are assumed to be known to the public in general. If the signature verifies correctly, the receiver (or any other party) has confidence that the message has not been altered after it was signed.

In addition, the verifier can provide the message, digital signature, and signer's public key as evidence to a third party that the message was, in fact, signed by the claimed signer. Given the evidence, the third party can also verify the signature. This capability, an inherent benefit of public key cryptography, is called non-repudiation. The DSS does not provide confidentiality for information. If confidentiality is required, the signer could first apply the DES to the message and then sign it using the DSA. The figure below illustrates a typical use of the DSS.

Because the DSA authenticates both the identity of the signer and the integrity of the signed information, it can be used in a variety of applications. For example, the DSA could be utilized in an electronic mail system. After a party generated a message, that party could sign it using the party's private key. The signed message could then be sent to a second party. The second party would also know that the message was not altered after the first party signed it.

In legal systems, it is often necessary to affix a time stamp to a document in order to indicate the date and time at which the document was executed or became effective. An electronic time stamp could be affixed to documents in electronic form and then signed using the DSA.

The DSA could also be employed in electronic funds transfer systems. Suppose an electronic funds transfer message is generated to request that \$ 100.00 be transferred from one account to another. If the message was passed over an unprotected network, it may be possible for an adversary to alter the message and request a transfer of \$1,000.00. Without additional information, it would be difficult, if not impossible, for the receiver to know the message had been altered. However, if the DSA was used to sign the message before it was sent, the receiver would know the message had been altered because it would not verify correctly. The transfer request could then be denied.

The DSA could be employed in a variety of business applications requiring a replacement of handwritten signatures. One example is Electric Data Interchange (EDI). EDI is the computer to computer interchange of messages representing business documents. In the federal government, this technology is being used to procure goods and services. Digital signatures could be used to replace handwritten signatures in these EDI transactions. For instance, contracts between the government and its vendors could be negotiated electronically. A government procurement official could post an electronically signed message requesting bids for office supplies. Vendors wishing to respond to the request may first verify the message before they respond. This action assures that the contents of the message have not been altered and that the request was signed by a legitimate procurement official.

After verifying the bid request, the vendor could generate and sign an electronic bid. Upon receiving the bid, the procurement official could verify that the vendor's bid was not altered after it was signed. If the bid is accepted, the electronic message could be passed to a contracting office to negotiate the final terms of the contract. The final contract could be digitally signed by both the contracting office and the vendor. If a dispute arose at some later time, the contents of the contract and the associated signatures could be verified by a third party.

The DSA could also be useful in the distribution of software. A digital signature could be applied to software after it has been validated and approved for distribution. Before installing the software on a computer, the signature could be verified to be sure no unauthorized changes (such as

the addition of a virus) have been made. The digital signature could be verified periodically to ensure the integrity of the software.

In database applications, the integrity of the to the information stored in the database is often essential. The DSA could be employed in a variety of database applications to provide integrity. For example, information could be signed when it was entered into the database. To maintain integrity, the system could also require that all updates or modifications to the information be signed. Before signed information was viewed by a user, the signature could be verified. If the signature verified correctly, the user would know the information had not been altered by an unauthorized party. The system could also include signatures in the audit information to provide a record of users who modified the information.

These examples show how the DSA can be used in a variety of applications to improve the integrity of both data and the application. CSL anticipates that federal agencies will incorporate the DSS into a variety of automated electronic systems that require message integrity and non-repudiation.

The security provided by any public key cryptographic system depends on several factors. Some important considerations are the mathematical soundness of the algorithm, the management of keys, and the implementation of the system in an application. The safety of the DSA is dependent upon the work needed to find or compute the discrete logarithm of a very large number. Mathematicians and computer scientists have been working to find a simple solution to the problem of finding logarithms for a long time. To date, only incremental improvements in computation have been attained through the use of more powerful computers. It is important to understand that an adversary, who does not know the private parameters of a party, cannot generate the party's signature. Therefore, a digital signature cannot be forged.

Digital signatures offer protection not available by alternative signature techniques. One such alternative is a digitized signature. A digitalized signature is generated by converting a visual form of a handwritten signature to an electronic image. Although a digitalized signature resembles its handwritten counterpart, it does not provide the same protection as a digital signature. Digitalized signatures may be forged. They can also be duplicated and appended to other electronic data. Digitalized signatures cannot be used to determine if information has been altered after it is signed.

Functions needed to support the use of the DSS included: The SHS is required to generate a message digest. A message digest is a condensed representation of the information to be signed. Using the SHS, it is computationally infeasible to find a message which corresponds to a given message digest, or to find two different messages which will produce the same message digest.

To use the DSS, a party must be able to generate random numbers to produce the public/private key pair and to compute the signature. Random numbers can be generated either by a true noise hardware randomizer or by using a pseudorandom number generator. One approved pseudorandom number generator is the key generation methodology found in Appendix C of the ANSI X9.17, "Financial Institution Key Management (Wholesale)." -A means of associating public and private key pairs to the corresponding users is required. That is, there must be a binding of a user's identity and the user's public key. This binding may be certified by a mutually trusted party. For example, a certifying authority could sign credentials containing a user's public key and identity to form a certificate. Systems for certifying credentials and distributing certificates are beyond the scope of

the DSS. NIST plans to develop future guidance on certifying credentials and distributing certificates.

User, legal, and technical issues related to the establishment and operation of digital signature infrastructure are being explored. For example, users may require the ability to register their public key in a directory or obtain a time/date stamp for legal documents. Legal issues such as the liabilities of the certificate management authority, the admissibility of digitally signed evidence, and the responsibilities of various federal agencies in supporting the use of the DSS must be examined. Some technical requirements which must be addressed include the inter-relationships among users and user communities necessary for providing services such as certifying credentials and distributing certificates; the need to interoperate with private sector and international certificate authorities; and the need to provide users with the ability to withdraw or immediately revoke their public key and provide notification to the appropriate certificate and directory authorities. NIST expects that this work will harmonize for electronic mail, and CCITT X.500, standards for directory services. As this work progresses, NIST will provide updates to federal departments and agencies.

DSS is the government-wide standard for use by all federal agencies including defense agencies which require a public key cryptographic signature system for unclassified information. In addition, NIST has been informed by Department of Defense authorities that the DSS may be used to sign unclassified information processed by "Warner Amendment" systems (10 U.S.C. 2315 and 44 U.S.C. 3502[21]) and classified data in selected applications.

The General Accounting Office (GAO) has also issued a decision regarding the use of electronic signatures to create valid contractual obligations which can be recorded as consistent with 31 U.S.C. 1501. Under Controller General Decision B-245714, the GAO has concluded that "Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) systems using message authentication codes which follow NIST's Computer Data Authentication Standard (Federal Information Processing Standard [FIEPS] 113) or digital signatures following NIST's Digital Signature Standard, as currently proposed, can produce a form of evidence that is acceptable under section 1501"

**Public-Key Cryptosystem:** The concept of the public-key cryptosystem was introduced by Dime and Heliman in 1976. The basic idea is that each user A has a public-key  $E_A$ , which is registered in a public directory, and a private key  $D_A$ , which is known only to the user.  $E_A$  is used for enciphering and  $D_A$  for deciphering. Data is encrypted using the public key, but can only be decrypted by the secret private key,  $D_A$ .

**RSA Encryption Algorithm:** RSA is named after its developers, Ronald Rivest, Adi Shamir, and Leonard Adleman. In this public-key cryptographic system, a central key-generation authority generates two good primes,  $p$  and  $q$ , then calculates the modulus  $M = p \cdot q$  and generates encryption/decryption pairs  $(e_i, d_i)$ . Each subscriber in the system would be issued a secret key  $d_i$ , along with public information that consists of the common modulus  $M$  and the complete list of public keys  $(e_i)$ . Anyone possessing this public information can send a message to the  $n$ th subscriber by using the RSA encryption algorithm with the public key  $e_n$ . This protocol maintains secrecy of the message without requiring secrecy of keys.

**DES Encryption Algorithm:** The DES is the first and, to the present date, only publicly available cryptographic algorithm that has been endorsed by the U.S. Government. Plaintext is encrypted in blocks of 64 bits, yielding 64 bits of ciphertext. The algorithm, which is parameterized by a 56-bit

key, has 19 distinct stages. The algorithm was designed to allow encryption to be done with the same key as decryption.

Vernam Cipher: Let  $M = m_1m_2\dots$  denote a plaintext bit stream and  $K = k_1k_2\dots$  a key bit stream. The Vernam cipher generates a ciphertext bit stream  $C = (m_i + k_i) \bmod 2, i = 1, 2, \dots$